

- 1.** A scientist wishes to test if a new treatment has a better cure rate than the traditional treatment which cures only 60% of the patients. In order to test whether the new treatment is more effective or not, a test group of 20 patients were given the new treatment. Assume that each personal result is independent of the others.

Trying to decide: cure rate $p \leq 0.60$ vs. $p > 0.60$.

- a) If the new treatment has the same success rate as the traditional, what is the probability that at least 14 out of 20 patients (14 or more) will be cured?

$$P(X \geq 14 \mid p = 0.60) = 1 - \text{CDF}(13 \mid p = 0.60) = 1 - 0.750 = \mathbf{0.250}.$$

- b) Suppose that 14 out of 20 patients in the test group were cured. Based on the answer for part (a), is there a reason to believe that the new treatment has a better cure rate than the traditional treatment?

If $p = 0.60$, then 25% of all possible samples would have 14 or more patients cured (out of 20). Thus, it is not unusual to see 14 out of 20 patients cured for a treatment that cures 60% of the patients. We have no reason to believe that the new treatment has a better cure rate than the traditional treatment if $X = 14$.

- c) If the new treatment has the same success rate as the traditional, what is the probability that at least 17 out of 20 patients (17 or more) will be cured?

$$P(X \geq 17 \mid p = 0.60) = 1 - \text{CDF}(16 \mid p = 0.60) = 1 - 0.984 = \mathbf{0.016}.$$

- d) Suppose that 17 out of 20 patients in the test group were cured. Based on the answer for part (c), is there a reason to believe that the new treatment has a better cure rate than the traditional treatment?

If $p = 0.60$, then only 1.6% of all possible samples would have 17 or more patients cured (out of 20). Thus, it is fairly unusual to see 17 out of 20 patients cured for a treatment that cures 60% of the patients. We have a good reason to believe that the new treatment has a better cure rate than the traditional treatment if $X = 17$.

2. A certain automobile manufacturer claims that at least 80% of its cars meet the tough new standards of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Let p denote the proportion of the cars that meet the new EPA standards. The EPA tests a random sample of 400 its cars, suppose that 308 of the 400 cars in our sample meet the new EPA standards.

a) Perform an appropriate test at a 10% level of significance ($\alpha = 0.10$).

Claim: $p \geq 0.80$ $H_0 : p \geq 0.80$ vs. $H_1 : p < 0.80$

$Y = 308.$ $n = 400.$ $\hat{p} = \frac{Y}{n} = \frac{308}{400} = 0.77.$

Test Statistic: $Z = \frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{\frac{p_0 \cdot (1 - p_0)}{n}}} = \frac{0.77 - 0.80}{\sqrt{\frac{0.80 \cdot 0.20}{400}}} = -1.50.$

Rejection Region: Left – tailed. Reject H_0 if $Z < -z_\alpha$
 $\alpha = 0.10$ $z_{0.10} = 1.282.$ Reject H_0 if $Z < -1.282.$

Decision: The value of the test statistic DOES fall into the Rejection Region.
Reject H_0 at $\alpha = 0.10.$

b) Perform an appropriate test at a 5% level of significance ($\alpha = 0.05$).

Rejection Region: Left – tailed. Reject H_0 if $Z < -z_\alpha$
 $\alpha = 0.05$ $z_{0.05} = 1.645.$ Reject H_0 if $Z < -1.645.$

Decision: The value of the test statistic does NOT fall into the Rejection Region.
Do NOT Reject H_0 at $\alpha = 0.05.$

c) Find the p-value of the appropriate test.

Left – tailed. P-value = $P(Z \leq -1.50) = 0.0668.$

d) Using the p-value from part (c), state your decision (Accept H_0 or Reject H_0) at $\alpha = 0.08$.

$0.0668 = \text{p-value} < \alpha = 0.08.$ **Reject H_0 at $\alpha = 0.08.$**

3. Alex wants to test whether a coin is fair or not. Suppose he observes 477 heads in 900 tosses. Let p denote the probability of obtaining heads.
- a) Perform the appropriate test using a 10% level of significance.

Claim: $p = 0.50$

$$H_0 : p = 0.50 \quad \text{vs.} \quad H_1 : p \neq 0.50$$

$$Y = 477. \quad n = 900. \quad \hat{p} = \frac{Y}{n} = \frac{477}{900} = 0.53.$$

$$\text{Test Statistic:} \quad Z = \frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{\frac{p_0 \cdot (1 - p_0)}{n}}} = \frac{0.53 - 0.50}{\sqrt{\frac{0.50 \cdot 0.50}{900}}} = \mathbf{1.80}.$$

Rejection Region: Two – tailed.

$$\text{Reject } H_0 \text{ if } Z < -z_{\alpha/2} \text{ or } Z > z_{\alpha/2}$$

$$\alpha = 0.10 \quad \alpha/2 = \mathbf{0.05}. \quad z_{0.05} = \mathbf{1.645}.$$

$$\text{Reject } H_0 \text{ if } Z < -1.645 \text{ or } Z > 1.645.$$

Decision:

The value of the test statistic **does** fall into the Rejection Region.

Reject H_0 at $\alpha = 0.10$.

OR

P-value: Two – tailed.

$$\text{P-value} = P(|Z| > 1.80) = 2 \cdot 0.0359 = \mathbf{0.0718}.$$

Decision:

$$0.0718 = \text{p-value} < \alpha = 0.10. \quad \mathbf{\text{Reject } H_0 \text{ at } \alpha = 0.10}.$$

- b) Find the p-value of the test in part (a).

$$\text{Two – tailed.} \quad \text{P-value} = P(|Z| > 1.80) = 2 \cdot 0.0359 = \mathbf{0.0718}.$$

- c) Using the p-value from part (b), state your decision (Accept H_0 or Reject H_0) for $\alpha = 0.05$.

$$0.0718 = \text{p-value} > \alpha = 0.05. \quad \mathbf{\text{Do NOT Reject } H_0 \text{ at } \alpha = 0.05}.$$

4. $H_0: p \leq 0.20$ vs. $H_1: p > 0.20$.

$Y = 72$. $n = 300$.

Compute the p-value.

State your decision at $\alpha = 0.05$.

$$\hat{p} = \frac{Y}{n} = \frac{72}{300} = 0.24.$$

Test Statistic:
$$Z = \frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{\frac{p_0 \cdot (1 - p_0)}{n}}} = \frac{0.24 - 0.20}{\sqrt{\frac{0.20 \cdot 0.80}{300}}} = \mathbf{1.73}.$$

P-value: Rightt – tailed. P-value = $P(Z > 1.73) = \mathbf{0.0418}$.

$0.0418 = \text{p-value} < \alpha = 0.05$.

Reject H_0 at $\alpha = 0.05$.